Memorandum for Mr. Ladd

()

through	who operates a private investigative
agency in Los Angeles and has performed in described as another Johnny Meyers'	restigative work for TNA.
asked him if he would tap telephones for Ho claims he declined this request ar	ward Hughes in the District of Columbia.
telephones in connection with his investiga	tion for Hughes. He also stated that he Brewster and knew of no such surveillance.
Lieutenant Shimon, according to press report subcommittee investigating wire tapping the	it he had the impression that Senator 5 7
Brewster's telephone had been under surveil work and had worked for	has stated that this is absolutely
untrue and that, while he was contacted by investigation when wanted employed by him.	ouring the time of the Hughes ent as an attorney for Howard Hughes,


The investigation requested by the Department has been completed with the exception of the interview with Howard Hughes, the location of proceedings and the possible involvement of one inquiry into the in the alleged shadowing of Senator Brewster. The requests for interview with Hughes and investigation concerning and the have been referred back to the Department for further consideration, and investigation is being held in abeyance pending instructions from the Department.

Intensive efforts are being continued to locate

b7'

has advised Agents that he would "bet present time or as far away from Washington as he can get him. Washington Field have been instructed to put forth and each office having leads to locate every possible effort to locate him at the earliest possible moment.

The investigation in this case has been restricted to the matters specifically requested by the Department. Reports reflecting the results of the investigation are being promptly furnished to the Department, and in each instance it has been specifically pointed out that the investigation is not a full inquiry and has been limited to the matters specifically requested by the Department.



MATERIAL BUTTOU OF INVESTIGATION U. A. DEPARTMENT OF MATERIAL STATEMENT OF MATERIAL STATEMENT SPECIFICAL STATEMENT OF MATERIAL SPECIFICAL SPECIFICATION SPECIFICAL SPECIFICATION SPECI

TELETYPE

WASH AND WFO FROM LOS ANGELES 13 1-55 PM

INTERVIEWED. CLAIMS ENGAGED BY HUGHES PER
SONALLY EARLY IN FORTYSEVEN TO INVESTIGATE POSSIBILITY PANAL
INANCED SENATOR BREWSTERS TRIP TO SO. AMERICA. SUBSEQUENTLY
HUGHES TESTIFIED IN JULY OR AUG., FORTYSEVEN SCOPE OF INVESTIGATE
OF THE ARCED TO DETERMINE ANY CONNECTION BETWEEN BREWSTER
ANAM.

USED

AS WELL AS TWO OTHER

CONDUCTED HE INVESTIGATION IN WASH. STATES HUGHES OF HUGHES LITTLE CONDUCTED INVESTIGATION ONLY AND NEVER REQUESTED SURVEILLANCE FITHER PHYSICAL, TECHNICAL, OR WIRE TAPPING. STATES NO SURVEILLANCE SEED DURING INVESTIGATION EITHER PHYSICAL, TECHNICAL, OR WIRE SAPPING

INVESTIGATION ANYONE CONNECTED WITH INVESTIGATION FOR HIM TO RESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUES INTERESTS.

IN OTHER INTERESTS IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER EXCEPT A LEAR TO BE SCIOSHES MADE RECEMBLE PREVIOUS IN REGULATION OF TECHNIQUES IN REGULATION OF TECHNIQUES IN REGULATION OF THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE RESERVE OF TECHNIQUES OF TECHNIQUES OF THE RESERVE OF THE

OTHER ASSOCIATES OR WITH HUGHES ON HUGHES INTERESTS.

IND PACE ONE

190 COPIES FFO

PAGE TVO

STATES HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF CHICK LAPPIN, NORMAN WHITAKER, OR NORMAN BOWLES. STATES RECENTLY TOLD THAT WAS INTRODUCED TO LAPPIN IN WASH. AT TIME OF SECOND CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS IN FORTYEIGHT WHICH WAS ONLY TIME WAS IN WASH. BUT DOES NOT RECALL LAPPIN. HUGHES HAS NOT AS YET FURNISHED APPLICATION OF THE WASHINGTON OF T

HOOD

END

MITTITOMOVIOVITIOOMLINE 17 WORD THREE FINANCES

LA 1-6 VA DV

Se 1 5 56 6 8

ริ ฮ อุรุษ: วัย หลังนะ

Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

SCTOR, FRI

DATE: September

PROM

BOTTEL, SAC, WASHINGTON

subject . JOSEPH W. SHIMOW, wa

ATACHTA ARROTTS

Pollowing is investigation conducted in this case to date.

10 m/d

INDEXED - 76

" ENCL

RECORDED - 76

OCT 2 1950

6/

630CT 5 1950




	Deleted under exemption(s) material available for release to	byc.	*	470		with no segrega	ble
	Information pertained only to a	third part	ty with r	no reference to	you or the sub	ject of your reques	it.
]	Information pertained only to a	third part	ty. You	r name is listed	l in the title or	nly.	
	Document(s) originating with the	ne followi	ng govo		ies)		
						for direct response	to
	Page(s) referred for consultation	on to the f	followin	, was/were forv g government a as the inform	varded to them gency(ies);ation originate	for direct response	
	Page(s) referred for consultation	on to the f	following the material	, was/were forv g government a as the inform	varded to them gency(ies);ation originate	for direct response	

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

SHINGTON FIELD LETTER TO THE DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: Lieutenant JOSEPH W. SHIMON, WA.

WASHINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT - MISCELLANEOUS



tioned that he in no way at all participated in the "Hughes affair" and that he certainly did not in any way surveill Senator BREWSTER. He volunteered



 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.						
Deleted under exemption(s) 37c w 17D with no segregable material available for release to you.						
Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.						
Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.						
Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.						
 Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.						
 Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):						
For your information:						
The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 62-92645- 165, 145- 6.						

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

TASH FIELD LETTER TO THE DIRECTOR, FBI

HS: Lieutenant JOSEPH W. SHIMON, WA

Washington Police Department - Miscellaneous

On September 12, 1950,
Interviewed at the Washington Field Office by Special Agents
and

COMMECTIONS WITH HOWARD HUGHES

was questioned specifically as to any and all connections and contacts he has had, at any time, with Mr. HOWARD HUGHES. Furnished the fellowing information.

Way. He stated that he was in Los Angeles on other business in the early spring of 1947, and that while he was in Los Angeles, HOWARD HUGHES found out that he was in town, telephonically contacted him and invited him out to his house. He said, in fact, HUGHES sent his personal car for his transportation. At this time, HUGHES discussed BREWSTER and the forthcoming investigation, however, none of the conversation was specific in nature. He did ask the however, the name of a reputable Washington attorney who might possibly represent him in the District of Columbia in the forthcoming hearings; HUGHES explaining that although he had many lawyers, he had no lawyer who lived

in the District of Columbia. He continued, however, that he, in fact, did have a lawyer by the name of HUCH FULTON in the District of Columbia, however, was not satisfied with his work.

while he was in Los Angeles on other business, he communicated with and saw HUGHES two or three times. Stated that he possibly did furnish bits of gossip relative to BREWSTER's alleged connections with Pan-American Airways, however, he could recall nothing specific of nature and was, at no time, attempting to sell information to HUGHES or to be employed by HUGHES in any way. Setated that he was just trying to "help out HOWARD HUGHES," as he had never had any use for Senator BREWSTER's policies although he did not personally know the Senator.

He stated that in either May or June, 1947, while was in Washington, D. C., and approximately a month after his last contact with HUGHES in Los Angeles, HUGHES called him telephonically from Los Angeles and suggested get in touch with one of HUGHES' representatives, NOAH DIETRICH, relative to securing a Washington attorney for HUGHES. contact DIETRICH in Houston, Texas did contact DIETRICH telephonically in Houston, and an appointment was made for to meet DIETRICH in New York City.

At this time had discussed the case with one CHARLES PATRICE CLARK, who is a Washington attorney and former co-counsel on the old TRUMAN Committee.

continued that he, in company with CIARK, went to New York City, where they had a short conference with DIETRICE

in a hotel near the Waldorf-Astoria, name unknown to it was where CLARK stayed. At this time states that he believed CLARK asked for a retainer fee of twenty-five thousand dollars to represent HUGHES and an additional twenty-five thousand dollars when the hearings were over, stated that apparently DIETRICH did not hire CLARK as CLARK, in no way, represented HUGHES during the hearings. The wolunteered the information that he and CLARK paid their own fare to New York and, at no time, did DIETRICH pay any of the bills.

stated that about two or three weeks after the meeting in New York, he had occasion to go to California on business for one of his employers and through mutual friends HUGHES obtained the information that the line in Los Angeles advised that HUGHES telephonically contacted him at the hotel in which he was residing and, at the request of HUGHES went to HUGHES! home. He informed that on that occasion HUGHES discussed again the desirability of obtaining a Washington attorney in regard to the hearings which were scheduled to be held.

HUGHES telephonically contacted his house was not there and left a request for contact HUGHES informed that he telephonically contacted HUGHES and on this eccasion HUGHES asked him to meet a man at the Statler Hotel, whose name cannot recall. He advised that on this occasion, the unknown man attempted to solicit any information concerning BREMSTER maintain to furnish, and the informed that he had no specific information to furnish, and the interview was terminated after a very few minutes stated that he has never had any contact with HOWARD HUGHES since that time and no contact other than which has been set forth above.

attempted to gain employment from HOWARD HUGHES or represent him in any way whatsoever. He also stated that HUGHES, at me



time, attempted to hire or have perform any duties whatsoever for him. He stated that HUGHES, at no time, had ever paid him any money or taken care of any expenses of

advised that his first contact with

relative to meeting for HOMARD HUGHES, as set out above.

The next contact he said with the HNCHES! hearings on the Hill, at which time

stated that at the time he contacted in New York, he got the very distinct impression that


ASH FIELD LETTER TO THE DIRECTOR, FBI


RE: Lieutenant JOSEPH W. SHIMON, WA

Washington Police Department - Miscellaneous

resented having any direct contact with HOWARD HUGHES.

He also stated that on that occasion made many uncomplimentary remarks concerning HUGHES.





At the conclusion of the interview stated that in his opinion he was the "fall guy" and that the excuse given by SHIMON and EREWSTER is merely an effort to cloud the issue so the true story cannot be brought out. He stated unquestionably in his mind SHIMON was working for BREWSTER, and that they were trying to obtain information about HUCHES which would be of value in the hearings.



25	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.					
	Deleted under exemption(s) 47c + 470 with no segregable material available for release to you.					
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.					
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.					
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you					
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.					
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):					
	For your information:					
	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 62-92645-165 pages 14 thru 38.					

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

A LANGE BY MAD A TOP TRONG TO STATE AND A SECOND

PLEATURE FERT A URGENT

SHIPM, WA MATROPOLITAN BY MISCHARD MATROPOLITAN BY MISCHARD MATRON OF SURVEY LANCE EITHER PHYSICAL COMPANY OF SURVEY LANCE EIT

BEEN ADVISED BY NOAN DIETRICH THAT DIETRICH HAD EMPLOYED SCHNING A
DETECTIVE AGENCY TO MAKE PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE OF SENATOR SECURITIES BUT DID NOT RECALL THAT

VICES FIRE EVER RETAINED. BAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF OTHER INDIVIDUAL MATERIAL OF THE REPORT BEING PREPARED TORAY AND VILL BE SOUNDED AND

Section 1

Kreens 7

(6310trs : 1950)

WO COPIES EN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

ψ^{J} ,	orm Maria		FILE NO. 62-	
1	PATE WIND AT WASHINGTON FIELD PROOF CO.	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY	ACCOUNTS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
/	Hand Joseph W. SHI		CHARACTER OF CASE MISCELLANEOUS	
	Washington Police Dep			
	SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:			
	furnished bits	of gossip re BREW	stated he here. STER, and en one of	大学 一種
	these contacts Washington att	HUGHES requested cracy to represent CHARLES PATRICK C	HUGHES state attorney	
	and former ass Subsequently,	arrangements were :	end trunan committees ands for CLARK, NOAH ow Tork and discuss t	
	represent HUCE	ining CLARE. CLAR ERS stated STRICH or any detec	K was not retained to he was never employe tive agency.	
				7
				48
	claims	ne other information	a at all re HUCHES	
COP	ES DESTROYES HALLY	61+9	2645+ 173 pt	a de Cina
	Sureau (62-92645)	877	11 8 1950 \\$	1151-1-
	6300 12 1950 2	27 - 44		
	PROPERTY OF FIRE THIS CONFIDERTIAL REPORT AND LABOR TO WHICH LANDS	HE CONTENTS AND LOAMED TO TH	M ST THE MI AND ARE MOT TO BE	describe described of



2	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
	Deleted under exemption(s) 470 with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies)
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 62-92-645-173, pages 20 and 3.

on the companies of the

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

FBI/DO.

tions that he is no way at all participated is the "Hughes affair" and the that certainly did not in any way surveil Senator BREWSTER. He volume

was the spage of the first



	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.					
	Deleted under exemption(s) 37 c 4 170 with no segregable material available for release to you.					
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.					
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.					
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you					
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.					
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):					
	For your information:					
□ 2	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:					

and a state of the property of the second section of the second s

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

CONNECTIONS WITH HOWARD HUCHES


furnished the following information as to his connection with HOWARD HUGHES.

He first net HUMAN HUMAN in Mexico in 1945 at a social gathering. He stated that he was in Ios Angeles on other business in the early spring of 1947, and that while he was in Ios Angeles, HUMAN MUMES found out that he was in town, telephonically contacted him, and invited him out to his house. He said in fact, HUMES sent his personal ear for his transportation. At this time, HUCHES discussed HEMATH and the forthcoming investigation, however, none of the conversation was specific in nature. He did ask the however, the name of a reputable hashington attorney who might possibly represent him in the District of Columbia in the forthcoming hearings; HUCHES explaining that although he had many lawyers, he had no lawyer who lived in the District of Columbia except HUCH FULTON. He continued however, that he, in fact, was not satisfied with FULTON'S work.

continued that in April, May or June of 1947, while he was
in Ios Angeles on other business, he communicated with and saw MUNIS tom
or three times distributed that he possibly did furnish bits of goests
felative to MENSTER'S alleged connections with Pan-American Airways,
however he could recall nothing specific of nature and was, at no time, attempting to sell information to HUMES or to be employed by MUNISS in any
bitsted that he was just trying to "help out HUMES in any
had never had any use for Senator MENSTER'S policies although he
did not personally know the Senator.

stated that in either May or June 1947 while the last contest of the last contest in Los Angeles, HUCHES called Min telephonically from Los Angeles, HUCHES cal





discussed the case with one CHARLES At this time. PATRICK CLARK, who is a Washington attorney and former associate-counsel on the old TRIMAN Committee.

continued that he, is company with CLARK, went to New York City where they had a short conference with DIETRICH in a hotel near the Waldorf-Astoria, name unknown to me as it was where CLARK stayed. At this time states that he believed CLARK asked for a retainer fee of \$25,000 to represent HUCHES and an additional \$25,000 when the hearings were ever; stated that apparently BIETRICH did not hire CLAME Prolunteered as CLARK in no way represented HUCHES during the hearings. the information that he and CIARK paid their own fare to New York, and at no time did DIETRICH pay any of the bills.

stated that about two or three weeks after the meeting in New York, he had occasion to go to California on business for one of his employers and through mutual friends BUCHES obtained the information that in Los Angeles advised that HUCHES telephonically contacted him at the hotel in which he was residing and, at the request of west to HUCHES'S home. He informed that on that occasion HUCKES elecused again the desirability of obtaining a Washington attorner in regard to the hearings which were scheduled to be hald.

stated that after the bearings had started, MDCHES tellephonically contacted his house was not there and left a request for contact EUCHES informed that he telephonically essetacted HUGHES, and on this occasion HUGHES asked him to meet a man at the Statler Notel whose name the Commot recall. He advised that on this occasion the unknown man attempted to selicit may information concerning height have. He informed that he had no specific ing to furnish, and the interview was terminated after a very f Detated that he has never had any contact with HOWARD M time, and he contact other than which has been set forth the



stated very definitely that he at no time attempted to gain employment from HOWARD HUCHES or represent him in any may whatsoever. He also stated that HUCHES at no time attempted to hire the perform any deties whatsoever for him. He stated that HUCHES at no time had ever paid him any money or taken care of any expenses of

advised that his first contact with

relative to meeting HUHES as set out above.

for BORDS

1.

The next contact he said with EUGHES' hearings on the Hill, at which time

was during the

be got the very distinct impression that resented having any direct contact with HOWARD HUCHES.



	Page(s) withheld entirely at tindicated, explain this deletion		the file.	One or more o	f the following statements, where			
	Deleted under exemption(s) material available for release	to you.	2 37	10	with no segregable			
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.							
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.							
	Document(s) originating with				d to them for direct response to you.			
····	Page(s) referred for consultate be advised of availability up		as	the information	v(ies); originated with them. You will			
	Page(s) withheld for the follo	owing reason(s):					
	For your information:							
	The following number is to b				ages:			

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX FBI/DOJ

8,62

B. CENERAL ACTIVITIES OF

stated that he might have furnished some information in a casual way to HUCHES, but it was general gossip; however, he definitely and no ulterior motives, nor was he trying to impress in order to obtain employment for himself.

On September 15, 1950 SAS

and

interviewed

BREWSTER Committee which investigated the Hughes Fireraft Company. He stated that he had absolutely no information concerning anybody shadowing Senator BREWSTER. He further stated that he had no information whatsoever concerning the use of wire taps by Senator BREWSTER to gain information for the Committee, and that to his knowledge the committee had no reports which would indicate that information of this type had been secured by BREWSTER. As a matter of fact, Mr.

of the BREWSTER Committee at the time of Hughes's hearings, had discussed the hearings and tried to recall if Senator BREWSTER might have furnished that information concerning HUGHES that might possibly have been obtained that they were unable to recall having recall 62-6368

in such information from Senator BRESTER or anyone else. In the formed, however, that from his knowledge of HUCHES, he was at the opinion that even if the telephone had been tapped, HUCHES would not have made any statements over the telephone which would have been detrimental to HUCHES interests.

individual.

stated that on the morning following the newspaper story to the effect that HUMARD HUCHES' telephones had been tapped, he and summoned to and asked if they had any knowledge of the tapping of HUMARD wires. He informed that they advised that they had no knowledge that HUCHES' wires were tapped. He informed, however, that Sension HEMESTER has never mentioned the newspaper story concerning this wire tapping and has never discussed it with the in any manner.

On September 18, 1950, Special Agents interviewed

further stated that although he attended some the HUCHES hearings he had no further information relative to and knew absolutely nothing about Lieutenant SHIKON's activities.



	Deleted under exemption(s) 47c + 470 with no segregable material available for release to you.
2	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to
-	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);
	as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
~-	
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): For your information:

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

FO 52-6362

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

During the interview with informed that betare the HOWARD HUCHES hearings on one occasion and were an Washington to make

for the

HUGHES Tool Company.

is not being interviewed in connection with this investigation inasmuch as it appears that his dealings with the and to the and had nothing to do with the HUGHES hearings.

At the conclusion of the interview with stated that in his opinion he was the "fall guy" in the same and that the excuse given by SHIMON and HREWSTER is merely an effort to cloud the laste is merely an effort to cloud the laste he believed SHIMON was working for HREWSTER, and that they were trying to obtain information about HUCHES which would be of value in the hearings.

Reference is made to the interview with




3.	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
	Deleted under exemption(s) 37c + 470 with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 62-92645-173, pages 44 thru 46.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1 FILE NO. 62-3541 THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT WASHINGTON FIELD REPORT MADE BY DATE WHEN MADE REPORT MADE AT PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 9/16-18/50 BAJ LOS ANGELES 9/19/50 570 CHARACTER OF CASE TITO E LIEUTENANT JOSEPH W. SHIMON, was., MISCELLANEOUS Metropolitan Police Department \$7% SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: stated he has no personal knowledge of any surveillance or shadowing of Senator OWEN BREWSTER on any occasion and that Mr. HOWARD HUGHES has never mentioned such action to him. States he had never heard of Lieut. SHIMON in connection with the Senate Committee inquiry. RUC AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA: DETAILS: was also employed by Mr. HOWARD HUGHES as attorney in connection with an investigation conducted by a Committee of the U. S. Senate concerning the alleged activities of business interests of Mr. HUGHES and Senator OWEN BREWSTER was a member of the Committee making the inquiry. It is alleged that a physical surveillance of Senator BREWSTER was conducted and that Lieut. SHIMON engaged SPECIAL AGENT APPROVED AND FORWARDED DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES COPIES DESTROYED 135 NOV COLUMN THE REPORT /5 - Bureau (AMSD) - Washington Field (AMSD) (1 USA, Washington Field)

IA 62-35h1

in the tapping of telephone wires to telephones in rooms occupied by representatives of Mr. HUGHES in two Washington hotels.



related that he had been associated as attorney for Mr. HOWARD HUGHES at a time when a Committee of the U. S. Senate, of which Senator OWEN BREWSTER was a member, was conducting an investigation into the alleged activities of the HUGHES' interests.


REWSTER on any occasion or in any manner.

Was certain that he had no connection with any such activity and said that Mr. HUGHES has never mentioned such action to him. He said he felt that if Mr. HUGHES knew of such a surveillance, Mr. HUGHES would have discussed the matter with him.

He remarked that he has no personal knowledge of any connection between Lieut. JOSEPH W. SHIMON and the inquiry by the Senate Committee into the alleged activities of the HUGHES interests and he said that he is not acquainted, nor does he have any information concerning AFNER WOODS (CHICK) LAPPIN.

said he has read articles appearing in the public press of Washington, D.C., concerning the alleged wire tapping activities of Lieut. SHIMON and has seen in these articles the names of several other individuals such as HENRY GRUNEWALD and he has no information concerning any of them having had any connection with the HUGHES inquiry.

REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN



said that he and HOWARD HUGHES had speculated as to the activities of Senator OWEN EREWSTER in discussing the investigation which was conducted by the Senate Committee of which Senator EREWSTER was a member, but that they made no arrangements of any kind as a result of this speculation to have any surveillance conducted of Senator EREWSTER and their conversation was merely speculative. He said he felt his position with Mr. HUGHES at the time was sufficiently close that if HUGHES

IA 62-3541

REFERENCE:

Teletype from Washington Field Office dated September 15, 1950 to the Director and Los Angeles Los Angeles teletypes to the Director and Washington Field dated September 18 and 19, 1950.

cc: Mr. Mr. Hosen Mr. Hargett Mr. Leggett

Attorney General

September

Pirector, FBI

OSEPH W. SHIMON, with aliases Metropolitan Police Department MISCELLANEOUS

62-91645-174 There is enclosed one copy each of the following reports which reflect additional investigation requested by you in this matter:

> dated Report of Special Agent September 20, 1950, at Washington, D. C.

Report of Special Agent September 19, 1950, at Los Angeles, California.

Your attention is invited to the report of Special Agent which reflects that the entire record of the proceedings in the divorce case of was sealed by the Arlington County Virginia Court at the time the decree was rendered and that according to this order the record can only be unsealed by an order of the same Court.

report also contains information that Special Agent was contacted by representatives of this Bureau on September 18, 1950, at Washington, D. C., at which time he advised that he did not desire to be interviewed concerning the instant matter except in the presence of his attorney, It will be appreciated if you will advise whether you desire be interviewed under these circumstances. that

Information has been received that as of September 15, 1950, Lieutenant Joseph W. Shimon was on sick leave from the Metropolitan Police Department and was confined to the George Washington University Hospital. He will be interviewed as soon as possible.

Additional investigation requested by you in this matter is being conducted and neports; seflecting the results thereof will be furnished to you as soon as received. J. S. DEPT OF JUSTIFE AND

PECEIVED REAL NG AUG tant Attorney Conoral James M. SETE

Clean Michols

SEP 22 1950

りし

510

570

FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT

Howard Robard Hughes

News Service 8/30/53.

The Attorney General Mirector, FRI Lieuterant Joseph V. Brinon. MASHINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT MISCELLA MECOUS Reference is made to the memoranda of Assistant Attorney Com James H. McInerney dated August 16, 1950, in which it is requested that investigation be conducted concerning Lieutenant Shimon's alleged activities in tapping telephone wires with respect to the and with regard to the possible involvement of one alleged stadowing of Secutor Browster during July and August, 1968. The live a the first of a little contraction of The investigation which has now been requested involves matters growing out of Grand Jury proceedings which, of course, were not accombine to representatives of this Bureau. Rince these matters have already be sonsidered by the Grand Jury, it would appear more desirable to bell before that body the persons mentioned in the memoranda of August 16, 1950, in ender that the information in their possession my be fully explored and d under oath. The investigation conducted in this untter has been limited by: our specific request that the Bureau investigate the activities of Lieute Phinon in connection with wire tapping allegedly performed by him on the 😭 belophones of Howard Maghes in behalf of the War Investigating Countities of the Senate. This investigation has been completed with the exception of Ex Continued efforts are being unde to assertain locating (his wheresbooks. You will recall that on Jene M., 1950 you advised Mr. La that you did not desire an investigation of the wire tapping activities of \$ Lieutenant Shinon as such and that you were not interested in Minne's edd at he solfoestoo In view of those circumtences, it would be appreciated if the sevice whether you still desire interviews exclusted with the specpersons mentioned in the menoranda of August 16, 1550, P. EKEDAM.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
	Deleted under exemption(s) with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies) Criminal Division, Department of Vastice , was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
□	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: 62-92645-/33

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

W.SHINGTON FIRED LOS ANGELES 10/2,3/50 Meutenant JOSEPH W. SHIMON, Ketropolitan Police Department MISCELIA TEXTS Washington, D.C. INFORGATION CONCERNING interests of HOMARD HUGHES, upon interview, re iterated prior statements that he had arranged with one of SCHINDLER Brothers for physical surveillance of Senator OWEN BREASTER in Washington, D.C., during hearings by Senate Committee in 1947 for purpose of determining whether Senator BREMSTER was continuing alleged contacts with Pan American Airways Executive Residence, Washington, D.C. telephonically contacted in New York City and ascertained that was the member of detective agency had dealt. with whom edly told he had advised FBI agents in New Tork City that his firm had contact with MUHES. interests re Senate inquiry, but was called off before hardly getting started on case. Payments made by HUCHES Tool Company at Houston, Texas, to SCHIMDLER Detective Agency on April 30, 1947, amount \$126.77 and on September 30, 1917, amount \$89.56. Company has invoice dated August b, 1947, amount \$849.79 which was not paid by HUGHES Tool Company at Houston but by Some other company in HUCHES act-up. inclined to believe August payment was probably made by Trans World Airlines, a HUCHES concern. los Angeles, Californi Fashington Pield (AKSD)* List, Washingt er fork (ANSD)

IA 62-35W

HOWARD HUGHES, which include the Hughes Tool Company, Houston, Texas, interviewed at his office,

October 3, 1950

reiterated information he had previously given at a prior interview by stating that during 1947 he contacted the SCHINDLER Detective Agency of New York City by telephone for the purpose of obtaining the services of that agency in conducting an investigation which he thought might prove of value to the HOMARD HUGHES interests in connection with an inquiry being conducted by a Committee of the United States Senate into the operation of certain business enterprises.

He said he had received information, possibly from an individual to the effect that Senator CHET named BREWSTER was in contact with the Pan American Airways Executive Residence in Washington, D.C. He said he had never seen this residence, but he had obtained the street address of the house. In response to his request, one the detective agency in New York City. called on and the ahose first name could not recall, was apprised of the desire of to determine whether Senator BREWSTER was, in fact, maintaining contact with the Pan American Airways Executive Residence in Washington, D.C. He said the agreed to personally handle the assignment. He said his first due to his apparently advanced age, reaction was that the might not be physically able to conduct the surveillance of Senator BREWSTER, but that he agreed to the arrangement. He could not recall whether the arrangement with the SCHINDLER Agency was made in the name of one of the HUGIES companies or as a personal arrangement between him and the SCHINDLERS.

He said there was no written contract as to the work to be done by said he orally instructed the SCHIFTLER Agency, and as to the work he desired done and orally reported to as to the results of the investigation. He said he definitely telephoned him while recalls that on one occasion was at the Mayflower Hotel, and had observed Senator BREWSTER leave some place on Capitol Hill and travel to the Pan American Airways Executive Residence in Washington, D.C. said he is now confident that this conversation was monitored by someone, and he considers it probably the conversation referred to by others in testimony before Congressional Committees. He sai that he also saw the in the lobby of the Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D.C., on at least one occasion, and said he did at the time because he deemed such action would ha been findiscrect under the circumstances.

IA 62-35W

He said may have made other reports to him by telephone on the physical surveillance of Senator ERE STER, but that he does not believe ever submitted a written report on the investigation. He said the inquiry to be conducted by the SCHINDLER Agency was for no other purpose than to determine whether Senator BREWSTER was continuing alleged contacts with the Pan American Airways Executive Residence and was conducted at a time when the Senate Inquiry was receiving consider able publicity. He said that as he recalled, Senator BREWSTER admitted in the Committee Hearings that he, BREWSTER, could recall having visited the Pan American Airways Executive Residence on three occasions.

Agency for the work performed on this investigation, although he was uncertain as to the amounts and method of payment. He said he would inquire concerning this matter and cause a search to be made of the company records to obtain additional details in this regard.

On October 3, 1950, in the absence of the his secretary telephonically advised that the had dictated to her for relay to Bureau agents the results of his inquiry concerning the above matter which inquiry was made following the above described interview.

Long Distance telephone on October 3, 1950 with of the SCHIMDLER Detective Agency in New York City and learned that it was possible that agency who dealt with in 1947 regarding the visited in New York City by FBI agents and had told them the SCHIMDLER Detective Agency had been in contact with the HUGHES interests concerning the Senate Countitee Inquiry in 1947, but HUGHES interests had kept the contact at a minimum, as the detective agency was called off the investigation before they had hardly started on the case.

The secretary stated that contacted the Hughes Tool Company auditor at Houston, Texas and ascertained that the company has two invoices of payments made by that company to the SCHIDLER Detective Agency. New York City, under dates of April 30, 1947 in the amount of \$126.77 and September 30, 1947 in the amount of \$89.56. The invoice dated April 30, 1947 bore the notation that it was for Agents Expenses and the invoice dated September 30, 1947 bore the notation that it was for Agents Expenses and Services.

IA 62-3541

The commany has another invoice dated August 1, 1917 in the amount of \$319.79 which was not paid by the Hughes Tool Company at Houston, Texas, but by some other company in the HOTAND HUGHES business set-up, the exact identity being unknown to the auditor of the company at Houston, Texas without making further inquiry. The secretary said recalls the April 30, 1917 item was for expenses only and was paid at the time he talked to when he engaged to conduct the physical surveillance of Senator OWEN BRENSTER.

It was recollection that this contact with took place either at Kansas City, Missouri or Houston, Texas, as was spending considerable time in both cities during that period, and he also recalls that was at that time enroute West on other business. It is inclined to believe the August 4, 1947 payment was probably made by Trans World Airlines.

REFLUXED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN

ADMINISTR.TIVE

was unavailable for interview on October 2, 1950, according to information furnished by his secretary and an appointment was made for the interview to take place on the morning of October 3, 1950, The interview was conducted by Si and the Reporting agent stated he could think of no reason why should not acknowledge the arrangement between himself and the SCHINDLER Detective Legency for the surveillance of Senator OWEN BREMSTER. as related in the investigative section of this report. He said that even might not be with whom he had: dealt, he was of the personal opinion that should have known & the extent and nature of the investigation conducted by his representative on that occasion. He at first made an effort to telephonically contact in New York City and instructed his secretary to get on the telephone for him. He also instructed his secretary to contact the

in New York City and instructed his secretary to get on the telephone for him. He also instructed his secretary to contact the auditor of the HUCIES Tool Company at Houston, Texas so that he, could talk to the auditor about payments of money to the SCHINDLER agency.

Said h. had not been in touch with the SCHINDLER, since the prior contact with him by this office.

in this matter, as he recalled that
of Trans World Lirlines, had employed the SCHEDLER Detective Agency on other
matters for the Airlines, and he also knew that the SCHENDLERS had on some
prior occasion been connected with the Pinkerton Detective Agency. For these
reasons he said he had confidence that the agency could perform the work he
desired. He related that TWL at one time had in the process the establishment of an Executive Residence in Washington, D.C. and that certain information came to the attention of the with reference to the proposed
residence which caused to employ the SCHENDLER Detective Agency to
conduct an inquiry for the airline. He did not state the date when this
inquiry was instituted by

delay on all long Distance telephone calls omanating from los ingeles at time spe attempted to contact and the HUGHES Tool Company in Houston, specifically requested that he be permitted to inquire of the Hughes Tool Company auditor when he could reach him by telephone and obtain the data regarding payments to the SCHIMDLER agency. He said he would

ry 95-32/11

ADMINISTRATIVE

then telephone the interviewing agents and advise them of the results of his inquiry. He indicated that his commitments for the remainder of the day were so pressing that he would be greatly accommodated by this arrangment.

On the afternoon of October 3, 1950

telephonically contacted the Reporting Leent and stated that
was away from his office and would be unavailable for interview during at
least the remainder of the day. She said that he had dictated to her the
information he had obtained during his inquiry following the interview will
Bureau Leents that morning, and had requested that she relay this information to the agents by telephone, as coming from him.

secretary stated that in his telephone conversation

New York City, was told by

that Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation had contacted

in New York City concerning the reported employment by the HUGHS

interests of the SCHINDLER Detective agency, and that

told

he had on that occasion told the FBI Agent, his agency had been in

contact with the Hughes interests, but that they had kept the contact to

minimum as the SCHINDLER agency had been called off the investigation before

they had hardly started on the case.

cript of the remarks he furnished the Bureau Agents in New York on that occasion, and that he would make available to the transcript of his remarks, if such were desired.

It was observed that during the interview with secretary was in the room most of the time and was observed to be taking what appeared to be shorthand notes of the conversation.

REFERENCE:

Teletype from Washington Field, 10/2/50, Teletypes to Bureau, Washington Field and New York City, 10/2,3,1/1950.

TESTIFIED TODAY THAT THE TELEPHONE ME. : WAS TAPPED DURING THE INVESTIGATION

BREVSTER WAS THEN CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE WAR INVESTIGATING CO

INVESTIGATED THE PLANE BUILDER'S ACTIVITIES.

- JOSEPH WOSHIMON TOLD A SPECIAL SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE IN E-TAPPING THAT HE REMOVED THE TAP FROM BREWSTER'S TELEPHON

FLOWER HOTEL. HE DISCOVERED THE WIRE-TAP, HE SAID, WHILE TAPPING OTHER LINE ATTEMPT TO DISCOVER WHY BREWSTER WAS BEING "SHADOWED" BY ANOT HIMON SAID HE "CUS ECTED" THAT THE MAN TAPPING EREVSTER'S ORKING FOR NOAVELETRICH. HUGHES! ATTORNEY 8/30--EG111F

INDEXED - 57

OCT 31 1950

WIRE-TAPPING

SURE WHAT THE MAN'S NAME SHIMON SAID THAT HE WAS TCM THOUGHT IT WAS EITHER O'CONOR' OR O'CONNELL. HE SAID HE HAD

CONCRETE PROOF WHO TAPPED BREWSTER'S LINES.

POLICE OFFICERS WHO HELFED SHIMON HAVE TOLD THE SUBCOMM THAT THEY WERE ORDERED BY SHIMON TO TAP THE TELEPHONES OF PERS EMPLOYED BY HUGHES. THEY SAID SHIMON TOLD THEM THE WIRE-TAPPE WAS BEING DONE FOR THE SENATE WAR INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE

SHIMON SAID HIS WIRE-TAPPING WAS DONE ONLY TO FIND OUT HE SAID THE MAINE SENATOR AS MOTIVES FOR SHADOWING BREWITER. FOR HIS HELP IN GETTING RID OF THE SHADOW . WHOM SHIMON IDENTI AS ABNER "CHICK" LAPPIN, A WASHINGTON PUBLIC RELATIONS COUNS LAPPIN DENIED YESTERDAY THAT HE HAD EVER FOLLOWED BREWSTE

HE SAID HE WAS A FRIEND OF HUGHES BUT THAT HE NEVEL

WORKED OR WAS PAID BY HUGHES.

SHIMOH'S ATTORNEY, ROBERT E. LYNCH, SUGGESTED THAT THE CALE BREWSTER TO TELL WHAT HE KNEW OF THE "SHADOW" TO THE POLICE FOR HELP.

FILE DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT Howard Robard Hughes

62-116006- 10/2/58 Document Date

Washington, October 2, 19

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover Director Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Ben A Charles

18 m = 120 m : 1

The following information was developed by Agents of this office during the course of their regular investigative duties. I thought it might be of interest, to you.

GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES

Information has been developed through informants of this loffice that

On September 25, 1958, it was ascertained from

that Frs. Dwight D. Eisenhower will christen the new Boeing 707 jet airliner at ceremonies to be held at the Washington National Airport plans for this on October 16, 1958. [ceremony are being made in secret at the present time. is contemplated that speeches by the Secretary of State and the President of Boeing Aircraft, Mr. William M. Allen. will be made. Possibly other dignitaries of Government and industry will appear.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEAEIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN ! OTHERWISE

CLASS. & EXT. BY SA & BTI/LW. REASON-PCIN II, 1-2.4.2 DATE OF REVIEW

CLUDEI

SECRET

LOCAL ACTIVITIES

Contract Contract The state of the s

CLOSET.